Proposed Zoning Community Input #2

- Question about 0 minimum lot size
- * Cheney Avenue - Water & Sewer can be extended easily
  - ** - Family -> T4R is a large change
  - - Concern of loss of CUP process and moving to by-right
- Need more examples of where infill could happen outside of developing a large parking lot - what about 1 lg parcel w/ SfR?
  - - Don't want look of Pboro to change - because of infill rules
- Be careful of misinformation - just say IDK
- Don't want strange positioning of houses on parcel
  - - W. Pete - doesn't need max setback for infill buildings
    - - Infill important in W. Pete - esp w/ rental shortage
    - - Min sizes - what about tiny houses? Sm. than cottage type?

* • Tiny houses - could be useful for ADUs on properties w/ W & S connection

• T4 Village - no min lot size <u>but</u> also nothing to address more parking req?

• Old Dub. Rd - Like being rural, but <u>have</u> W & S
  - Worried about neighbors infilling
  - Issue w/ color change on map @ last minute
  - Own field across St. from Rite Aid, etc.
    - Kept in current use.
    - But no one has talked to us about what we want!
    - PB making dec. for our future - blindsiding us

• Just because you aren't doing something now, doesn't mean your options should deminish

• Lot size reduction, frontage ↓, change to 10,000 -> 5,000 ft.
  - many changes down the line, imposed on neighborhood ad. hoc.

* Impactful Neighborhood mtgs. nercessary *

• Seems like change is an overall <u>revenue</u> change

• What is the definition of "Walkability"? How close?

• Examples w/ photographs of towns that <u>have</u> Form-based code ALREADY *Less by rights* Changes

* • Need to add abutter input to process

- More eye contact w/ abutters at Public Hearings - need more open exchange of ideas - less by-right
- Londonderry - Posts pdf's of plans to Public <u>BEFORE</u> Public Hearing