Proposed Zoning Community Input #2

• Question about minimum lot size
  - Cheney Avenue - Water & Sewer can be extended easily
  - Family T4R is a large change
  - Concern of loss of CUP process and moving to by-right

• Need more examples of where infill could happen outside of developing a large parking lot - what about 1st floor w/ SfR?
  - Don’t want look of “plaza to change” because of infill rules

• Be careful of misinformation - just say IDK

• Don’t want strange positioning of houses on a parcel
  - W. Pete does not need max setback for infill buildings
  - Infill important in W. Pete - esp. when houses are small
  - Min sizes - what about tiny houses? Smaller cottage type?

• Need to add about input to process

Form-based code ALREADY xLess by process Changes?
* Tiny houses - could be useful for ADUs on properties w/ W & S connection
  * T4 Village - no min lot size but also nothing to address more parking req?
  * Old Dub Rd - like being rural, but have W & S - Worried about neighbors infilling
  * Issue w/ color change on maps - last minute
  * Own field across st. from Rite Aid, etc.
    * Kept in current use
    * But no one has talked to us about what we want!
  * PB making dec. for our future - blindsiding us
    * Just because you aren't doing something now, doesn't mean your options should diminish

  * Lot size reduction, frontage v. change to 10,000 -> 5,000 %
    * Many changes down the line, imposed on neighborhood ad hoc

  * Impact: Neighborhood mtgs. necessary

  * Seems like change is an overall revenue change
  * What is the definition of "Walkability"? How close?

  * Examples w/ photographs of towns that have Form-based code ALREADY *Less by right*

  * Need to add abutter input to process
• More eye contact w/ abutters at public hearings - need more open exchange of ideas - less by-right

• Londonderry posts pdf’s of plans to public BEFORE public hearing